

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,461	02/10/2004	Todd Vernon	45098.00011.UTL1	3587
67670 7590 04/27/2007 Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP		EXAMINER		
3579 Valley Centre Drive			SMITH, CREIGHTON H	
San Diego, CA 92130			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2614	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/776,461	VERNON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Creighton H. Smith	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A/	PR '07.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8,9,13-40,43 and 46 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7,10-12,41,42,44 a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8,9,13-40 and 46 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<i>nd 45</i> is/are withdrawn from cons	sideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2614

Upon reconsideration of the prior art, Roseman '636, and previously allowed claim 43, prosecution is re-opened.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(E) as being anticipated by Roseman '636.

In col. 12, lines 29-45, Roseman discloses that the host "can" act as a moderator if a participant starts filibustering. All throughout Roseman's spec he discloses the idea of having a multimedia conference that has the free flow of ideas amongst the various conferees, that the requestor can hold a conference where ideas are freely exchanged among the participants – col. 12, lines 29-31. Roseman also discloses that if need be the host may jump in and act as a moderator if one of the participants starts to filibuster. Therefore, the primary aim of Roseman's conferencing system is one where all the participants have no pre-assigned hierarchical roles. However, Roseman also hedges his bets by allowing the host to jump in and act as the moderator if one the participants acts unruly during the conference.

Claims 8, 9, 13-40, & 46 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,461

Art Unit: 2614

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Creighton H.

Smith at telephone number 571/272-7546.

24 APR '07

Creighton H Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614